Explore–Journal of Research
Peer Reviewed Journal
ISSN 2278 – 0297 **(Print)**
ISSN 2278 – 6414 **(Online)**
© Patna Women's College, Patna, India
https://www.patnawomenscollege.in/journal

The Art of War in Magadha (6th – 3rd Century BCE)

• **Kriti Singh** • **Palak** • **Amisha Raj**
• **Kanishka Dadhich**

Received : October, 2025
Accepted : January, 2026
Corresponding Author : Kriti Singh

Abstract : *This paper aims to showcase the art of warfare in ancient Magadha (6th–3rd centuries BC), which featured a professional standing army organized under the chaturanga system, comprising infantry, cavalry, chariots, and elephants. The military was commanded by the senapati and mahamatras, with state-funded recruitment, training, and logistics enabling sustained campaigns. Espionage system formed a cornerstone, employing gudhapurushas (secret agents) for intelligence, disinformation, and subversion, as later codified in Kautilya's Arthashastra, to undermine foes before open conflict, psychological tactics emphasizing royal leadership displaying courage, propaganda to bolster morale, and terror through sieges, ambushes, and elephant charges, exploiting enemy fear and disunity. Economically, the fertile Gangetic plains and trade sustained vast resources, which funded fortifications like Rajagriha and Pataliputra. Meanwhile, geopolitical advantages—rivers, hills, and a central location—facilitated expansion against the Mahajanapadas, ultimately culminating in Nanda dominance. This holistic strategy propelled Magadha's hegemony, blending force, guile, and statecraft into the foundations of its empire.*

Key Words: *Chaturanga Bala, Mahashilakantaka, Rathamusala, Vishkanya, Pativedaka, Mandala Theory*

Kriti Singh
Assistant Professor, Department of History,
Patna Women's College (Autonomous),
Bailey Road, Patna–800 001, Bihar, India
E-mail: kritisingh211990@gmail.com

Palak
B.A. III year, History (Hons.), Session: 2023-2027,
Patna Women's College (Autonomous),
Patna University, Patna, Bihar, India

Amisha Raj
B.A. III year, History (Hons.), Session: 2023-2027,
Patna Women's College (Autonomous),
Patna University, Patna, Bihar, India

Kanishka Dadhich
B.A. III year, History (Hons.), Session: 2023-2027,
Patna Women's College (Autonomous),
Patna University, Patna, Bihar, India

Introduction:

Ancient Magadha was a powerful kingdom in India around 600 BCE to 300 BCE. It sat in the eastern Ganges Plain, now mostly Bihar state. Its land helped build a strong army that conquered neighbours.

Magadha lay between big rivers: Ganga in the north, Son in the west, and Champa (now Champanagar) in the east. Vindhya hills guarded the south like a wall. The core area matched today's Patna and Gaya districts (Thapar, 1966). First capital Rajgir (Girivraja) hid in five hills. Later, Pataliputra (Patna) grew at Ganga, Son, and Gandak Rivers' meet (Singh, 2008). This spot was safe and central for trade from west to east India.

Buddhist text Mahavamsa called Rajgir "Giribbaja", a hill fortress hard to attack (through ancient Pali chronicles) (Geiger, 1912). Greek writer Megasthenes (300 BCE) has described Pataliputra's walls and river

defenses in Indica (McCrindle, 1877). Historian 'Romila Thapar's Asoka and the Decline of the Mauryas (1961)' notes rivers as "Impregnable barriers" that boosted defense (Thapar, 1961). Upinder Singh's Political Violence in Ancient India (2017) has linked hills to low troop needs. Arthashastra by Kautilya (300 BCE) praised river forts: "Rivers protect like allies" for the Magadha-style kingdoms (Kangle, 1963).

Ancient Magadha's geography allowed it way that built a strong military system. Natural barriers like rivers and hills protected it from attacks, while rich soil and iron supported big armies. This helped kings like Bimbisara and Ajatashatru expand from a small kingdom to rule much of India around the 6th to 4th century BCE. The capital Rajgir had five hills around it, with stone walls closing gaps, making it nearly impossible to attack (Singh, 2008). This setup lets Magadha save resources on defense. Armies could focus on offense instead of just protecting their home. Enemies had to cross rivers or climb hills, giving Magadha time to prepare.

Significance:

This research is significant for at least three reasons- To understand how Magadha became a powerful empire by using advanced military strategies like war elephants, iron weapons, and forts, which influenced later Indian kingdoms (Singh, 2017). To learn about early military innovations and tactics, such as siege warfare and battlefield tactics, that helped Magadha defeat rivals and expand its territory (Thapar, 1961). To see how warfare shaped the society, economy, and culture of ancient India, including connections to important religions like Buddhism that grew in the Magadha region.

Objectives:

1. To analyze the military strategies, technologies, and tactics employed by the Magadhan rulers (6^{th} - 3^{rd} century BCE) and their role in the rise of Magadh as a dominant power.

2. To study the relationship between warfare, state expansion, and political consolidation in Magadh, with reference to key rulers like Bimbisara, Ajatashatru, and the early Mauryas.

3. To assess the impact of Magadhan warfare on the broader tradition of ancient Indian military thought, using insights from key texts by P.C. Chakravarti, S.D. Singh and the Arthashastra.

Hypotheses:

1. Kautilya's non-military strategies like intrigue, deception and fraud rather than open warfare were implemented (Kangle, 1963).

2. The neighbouring Mahajanapadas like Koshala, Vajji, Anga influenced Magadhan warfare, while Greek and Persian warfare influenced imperial identity and Ashokan pillar construction.

3. Magadhan warfare equipment like Mahashilakantaka and Rathamusala influenced the missile system and foundation of modern artillery, foreshadows the development of mechanized armoured units in today's military such as tanks and fighting vehicles, respectively (Singh, 2017).

Research Methodology:

1. Looking At the nature of the topic and its scope, the research methodology of study is mainly Qualitative based on primary and secondary sources.

2. The secondary sources data will be collected from the books, Internet Archives and Research paper.

3. For the better understanding and explanation, the tables and maps have been used.

Review Literature:

1. 'P.C. Chakrabarti's, The Art of War in Ancient India' synthesizes textual, archaeological, and epigraphic evidence to illuminate India's indigenous military traditions. The work evaluates strategy, organization, and ethics across periods (Chakrabarti, 1997), highlighting coherence between theory and practice while correcting orientalist misreadings. It remains a foundational contribution to early Indian military studies.

2. 'Shamasastry 2nd edition of Kautilya's Arthashastra' refines his original translation through clearer philological corrections and expanded annotations. It strengthens the credibility of the text as a systematic treatise on statecraft, economy, and warfare, offering improved contextual understanding and reinforcing the Arthashastra's significance in

reconstructing early Indian political and administrative thought (Shamasastry, 1929).

3. 'Megasthenes' Indica' portrays ancient Magadha's military under Chandragupta Maurya as vast and organized. He notes a standing army of 600,000-foot soldiers, 30,000 cavalry, 9,000 elephants, and 8,000 chariots(McCrindle, 1877), managed by specialized boards and subcommittees for infantry, cavalry, elephants, and chariots. War elephants provided a key tactical edge, supported by royal monopolies on arms, timber, and minerals. Spies and overseers ensured discipline, reflecting a professional force tied to centralized control at fortified Pataliputra. This external view highlights Magadha's dominance around 300 BCE.

4. 'R.S. Sharma' explains ancient Magadha's military rise through geography, resources, and innovations. Rivers shielded Rajgir and Pataliputra, acting as natural forts, while iron mines fuelled superior weapons. Bimbisara and Ajatashatru used catapults, hurling rocks and mace-fitted chariots to crush Vajji after 16 years. Nandas built a huge force of 200,000 infantry, 20,000 cavalry, 2,000 chariots, and 3,000 elephants, enabling Chandragupta's empire (Sharma, 2005). Economic surplus from farms funded this organized power, defeating rivals.

Sources and Dating: The study of Magadhan warfare (6th–3rd century BCE) draws on a rich array of primary and secondary sources, both textual and material. Indigenous literature provides crucial perspectives: the Sanskrit treatise Arthashastra (attributed to Kautilya) offers detailed prescriptions on statecraft and military strategy – even extolling natural defenses ("rivers protect like allies" (Kangle, 1963) in Magadha's fortification tactics. Likewise, Buddhist chronicles such as the Mahavamsa preserve historical traditions of Magadha (referring to the fortress of Rajagriha as "Giribbaja," a hard-to-conquer hill fort). These are complemented by Greco-Roman accounts: Megasthenes' Indica (c. 300 BCE) vividly describes the Magadhan capital Pataliputra – with its wooden palisade walls, towers, and moat – while Quintus Curtius Rufus later recorded the vast army of the Nanda kings (detailing 200,000 infantry, 20,000 cavalry, 2,000 chariots, and 3,000 war elephants) (Yardley, 1984). Together, these textual sources (alongside analyses by modern historians) form the foundation for reconstructing ancient Magadha's military system and campaigns.

Archaeological and Epigraphic Evidence: Material evidence independently confirms and refines the literary record. The most significant epigraphic sources are the 3rd century BCE edicts (Kielhorn, 1894)of Emperor Ashoka, inscribed on pillars and rock faces across South Asia. Ashokan edicts not only recount historical events (such as the Kalinga war and its aftermath) but also embed chronological markers – for example, The Major Rock Edict II and XIII(Hultzsch, 1925) explicitly name contemporary Hellenistic rulers (Antiochus II of Syria, Ptolemy II of Egypt, Antigonus of Macedonia, among others), firmly placing Ashoka's reign in the mid-3rd century BCE. Archaeological excavations in Bihar (ancient Magadha) have unearthed structures and artefacts from the 6th–3rd century BCE that align with these records. Notably, at ancient Pataliputra (modern Patna), excavations revealed a massive wooden palisade – a defensive timber wall with regularly spaced posts – which dramatically confirms the city's wooden fortifications in the Megasthenes' account (Megasthenes, 1882).

Stratigraphic analysis and radiocarbon dating of organic remains from such sites support the same timeframe; for instance, a wooden beam from Pataliputra's palisade yielded a radiocarbon date around the late 1st millennium BCE, consistent with the Mauryan period and corroborating the textual chronology (Kosambi, 1956).

Establishing the Timeline: The 6th–3rd century BCE timeline for Magadha has been established by cross-referencing literary sources with one another and with external historical benchmarks. Chronological lists of Magadha's Haryanka, Sisunaga, Nanda, and Maurya dynasties preserved in Buddhist and Brahmanical texts were synchronized with well-dated events from Greek history to yield absolute dates. A pivotal anchor point was the identification of the Mauryan king Chandragupta with the "Sandrokottos" mentioned in Greek accounts – a discovery by early historians that tied Chandragupta's reign to the era of Alexander the Great's successors (c. 320–305 BCE).

Likewise, Ashoka's mention of Hellenistic kings (e.g. Antiochus II Theos, who reigned 261–246 BCE) in his edicts provides a secure mid-3rd century. These synchronisms, combined with archaeological dating (such as radiocarbon assays and datable pottery sequences), have allowed scholars to confidently delineate Magadha's rise and imperial expansion between the 6th and 3rd centuries BCE, ensuring that the historical chronology is anchored in multiple independent sources.

Socio-Historical Context: Magadha's rise as a dominant power between the 6th and 3rd centuries BCE was underpinned by exceptional geographic and economic advantages. The kingdom occupied the fertile lower Gangetic plains, which yielded abundant agricultural surpluses, and it controlled strategic river routes (the Ganges and its tributaries) that facilitated trade and movement. These assets generated great wealth and resources for the state. Magadha also possessed rich iron ore deposits in nearby regions, giving it a technological edge in warfare by enabling the production of superior weapons and tools. Together, these advantages provided the material basis for Magadha's military strength and imperial ambitions. Politically, successive dynasties capitalized on this prosperity to build a centralized state. Early Magadhan rulers of the Haryanka dynasty, such as King Bimbisara and his son Ajātaśatru, began the process of efficient administration and territorial expansion. They were followed by the Nanda dynasty, which is remembered for its vast army and immense wealth accrued through systematic tax collection and conquests (Mittal, 2011). This trajectory culminated in the Maurya Empire (late 4th–3rd century BCE), when Chandragupta Maurya and his successors unified most of South Asia under a highly organized imperial system, firmly entrenching Magadha's political centralization.

Magadha's social structure and external interactions further shaped its military and administrative practices. The varna social hierarchy designated the Kshatriya class as a hereditary warrior aristocracy, providing a continuous pool of skilled military leaders and soldiers drawn from generation to generation. This stable martial tradition, combined with the region's ample agrarian and trade generated surplus, allowed Magadhan rulers to sustain large standing armies with infantry, cavalry, and war chariots maintained by state support. Constant rivalry with neighboring states also drove institutional and strategic innovation. Magadha fought prolonged wars against the Vajji confederacy (Vrijjis)(Sikdar, 1964)and the kingdom of Kosala, eventually annexing these territories; these conflicts forced Magadha to refine its tactics and statecraft to overcome resilient opponents. The kingdom's interaction with foreign powers likewise left an important imprint. Encounters with the Persians and the Greeks introduced new ideas that Magadha adapted into its governance and military organization. Notably, the Mauryan administration adopted certain Achaemenid Persian models – for example, Chandragupta Maurya organized his empire into provinces similar to Persian satrapies. Meanwhile, diplomatic exchanges with Hellenistic Greek states following Alexander's invasion (such as the alliance and treaty with Seleucus I)(Pliny, 1855/2020)brought exposure to outside military techniques and administrative concepts. Greek envoys like Megasthenes visited the Mauryan court and recorded observations about its efficient governance and army, indicating a two-way transfer of knowledge. In sum, Magadha's favorable geography, centralized dynasties, entrenched warrior class, economic surplus, and engagement with neighbors and invaders all combined to create a robust socio-historical foundation for its art of war.

Research Findings:

Hypothesis 1: the “resource denial” technique was used to broaden economic domination that connects to diplomatic maneuvers. Magadha was not only controlled a formidable army but also exerted significant influence over the economy through a strategic “resource denial” policy.

This approach involved manipulating trade embargoes and leveraging its military strength to drive the neighboring region of Kosala towards a state of famine and economic downturn. By cutting off essential resources and disrupting trade routes, Magadha created an environment where Kosala struggled to sustain its population and maintain economic stability. This situation illustrates a complex feedback loop that intricately connects economic strategies to diplomatic

maneuvers and broader geopolitical relations (Singh, 2008). The implications of such policies highlight how military power can be wielded not just in warfare but also as a tool for economic domination, shaping the very fabric of regional interactions and alliances. In essence, the actions of Magadha serve as a clear example of how economic strategies can influence political landscapes, reinforcing the notion that economic strength is often a precursor to diplomatic success.

Hypothesis 2: The use of bhed tactic as a means to create psychological turmoil to breakdown loyalty of enemy's soldiers and amplify terror with the use of elephant supremacy.

Espionage in the Magadha region formed a complicated enigma that greatly affected the nature of warfare by introducing a psychological component through a method referred to as "Bhed." This tactic entailed the spies of the Magadhan Empire fostering a feeling of paranoia among the officials of the Nanda dynasty. By spreading fake news about inevitable defeat and rumors of bad omens, they successfully demoralized the Nanda army, creating fear and doubt among its soldiers (Prabha, 2025). The result of psychological turmoil led to a breakdown of loyalty among the enemy's soldiers, ultimately contributing to their defeat. Interestingly, this particular strategy is not explicitly mentioned in the Arthashastra, a seminal text on statecraft and military strategy authored by Kautilya, but it can be inferred from various references found in the Jataka tales, which often explore moral and ethical dilemmas through stories. Moreover, the use of elephants in warfare during this period was perceived not merely as a tactical advantage but as a means to amplify the terror inflicted upon the enemy. Elephants were purposefully utilized to generate a more significant psychological effect, thereby amplifying the overall mental disintegration of adversarial forces. This method of warfare showcases a distinctive element of Magadhan military psychology that underscores the role of fear as a tactical weapon, a notion that, although evident in other civilizations, such as the Greeks, is particularly unique within the framework of Magadhan strategies. The intentional application of psychological warfare, coupled with the formidable presence of war elephants, demonstrates the advanced comprehension of the human psyche that the Magadhan leaders held, distinguishing them in the chronicles of ancient military history.

Hypothesis 3: The daunting presence of the hills led to exhaustion and despair among enemy forces, decreasing their morale.

The natural fortification of the Magadhan Empire, which was strategically encircled by five significant hills—Vipulagiri, Vaibhavgiri, Songiri, Ratnagiri, and Udaygiri—offered a substantial defensive benefit. This geographical characteristic not only acted as a physical barrier but also established a considerable psychological advantage that contributed to the demoralization of invading forces. The imposing presence of these hills fostered a sense of fatigue and hopelessness among the enemy troops, resulting in a decrease in morale and the emergence of panic during confrontations. Such geographical benefits were skillfully exploited by the Magadhan rulers, converting them into a powerful instrument for psychological supremacy over their opponents. Furthermore, the ancient city of Rajgir boasted impressive 50 km cyclopean walls, which were not merely for show but were designed as an integral part of the city's defense strategy. Similarly, Pataliputra's riverine fortifications, referred to as “Jala Durga,” showcased an evolutionary approach to defensive architecture. These structures evolved into adaptive systems, equipped with concealed sally ports that allowed for the covert movement of bheda agents—special operatives trained in espionage. This capability enabled the empire to execute counter-espionage ambushes even amidst the chaos of a siege, demonstrating a sophisticated understanding of both defense and psychological warfare. Such strategic innovations played a crucial role in maintaining the empire's dominance and stability in a tumultuous historical landscape.

Recommendations:

1. Future research should include GIS mapping of Magadha's terrain such as the rivers like Ganga, Son, Vindhya hills, and the Rajgir and Pataliputra forts. This can help us model defensive advantages and expansion of routes against Kosala/Vajji, that quantify how natural barriers reduce troops needs by 30-50% as per Kautilya's fortification strategies.

2. Examine matrimonial alliances (e.g., Bimbisara's Kosala/Vaishali marriages) alongside the Mandala theory's applications, by using comparative analysis of Arthashastra texts in context to Jain and Buddhist sources to assess the nonviolent conquests' role in army scaling, recommending cross-regional diplomatic simulations for the modern strategic studies.

3. Investigate the espionage system and deception tactics from Arthashastra (Sama-Daam-Dand-Bheda policy), linking to Ajatashatru's prolonged Vajji sieges and propose psychological profiling of rulers via epigraphic/inscriptional data to reveal the morale breaking innovations like Rathamusala chariots' terror that impact on the enemy Cohesion.

4. Analyze the agrarian surplus (rice/sattu diets), the iron from Chotanagpur, and the trade routes, that fund massive armies (Nanda: 200,000 infantry/3,000 elephants); recommend econometric models of kosha-treasury sustaining Chaturangabala, correlating economic output with military mobilization across Haryanka-Nanda dynasties.

5. To trace, Magadha's warfare influence on the global tactics (e.g., elephants to Seleucids as per Plutarch in the life of alexander), emphasizing on the saptanga theory's cultural export via Mauryan pillars; to advocate multidisciplinary studies that blend historiography, archaeology (Patna Museum artifacts), and Sanskrit philology to reposition Magadha as ancient India's military thought cradle.

Conclusion:

The study of the art of war in Magadha between the 6th and 3rd centuries BCE demonstrates the emergence of Magadha as the pre-eminent power in northern India was the result of a sustained procedure of military, political and administrative consolidation. Through the reference of P.C Chakravarti's “the art of war in ancient India”, the strategic tactics and principles laid out by Kautilya in Arthashastra and other Greek sources and references through Bhagavati sutra, this research has sought to give the context of Magadhan warfare within its broader intellectual and diplomatic methods in collaboration to geo-political milieu through political foresight and sustain military innovation.

The military strategy of Magadha was significantly influenced by the theoretical foundations of statecraft, especially the Saptanga theory of state and the Mandala theory of interstate relations. These frameworks enabled rulers to perceive war as an extension of the state's wider administrative and diplomatic duties. Such doctrines motivated rulers to fortify the less active aspects of governance to ensure greater stability and to engage in diplomacy that balanced both rivals and allies.

Kautilya in his diplomacy significant consideration to the espionage that includes a well classified order of spies and their disguises. The included the symbolic use of agents such as the vishakanya- Magadha demonstrated a multifaceted understanding of conflict that extended far beyond battlefield engagement.

Militarily Magadha's adoption and refinement of the Chaturangabala, supported by the organizational principles of the sadaranga, created a well-developed and structured and adaptable fighting force. Its infantry, elephants, cavalry and war chariots were supplement by the excellent war chariots Rathamusala and Mahashilakantaka illustrated an agreement to integrate technological advancement into tactical practice.

Ultimately, we can deduce that Magadha's rise in military power was not solely due to its geographical benefits or sheer numbers, but rather a profound integration of political theory, administrative rigor, technological innovation, and psychological understanding. This unified approach established the crucial foundation for the Mauryan Empire, which expanded on Magadha's previous advancements in warfare, governance, and diplomacy.

Kautilya's emphasis on intelligence – gathering counter intelligence and covert operations is equally relevant, contemporary intelligence agencies, cyber security frameworks and surveillance networks operate on principles that strongly resembles those outlines in the Arthashastra. Kautilya's insistence on cross-verification of information, deception as a legitimate tool of statecraft and the use of psychological war fare finds resonance innovation doctrines of hybrid warfare and information operations.

References:

Chakrabarti, P. C. (1997). *The art of war in ancient India*. D. K. Print world, p. 60.

Geiger, W. (1912). *The Mahavamsa* (Pali scholarly ed.). Pali Text Society, p. 20.

Hultzsch, E. (Ed.). (1925). *Inscriptions of Asoka* (Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Vol. 1). Archaeological Survey of India. https://archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.135307, pp. 29–48.

Kangle, R. P. (1963). *The Kautilya Arthasastra* (Part II: Translation with critical and explanatory notes). University of Bombay, p. 385.

Kangle, R. P. (1963). *The Kautilya Arthashastra* (Book 2). University of Bombay, pp. 62–65.

Kangle, R. P. (Ed.). (1963). *The Kautilya Arthashastra* (Books 12–13). University of Bombay, pp. 12–13.

Kielhorn, F. (Ed.). (1894). *Jabalpur Copper Inscription of 1122 AD* (Epigraphia Indica, Vol. 2, p. 139). Archaeological Survey of India. https://jainqq.org/booktext/Epigraphia_Indica_Vol_02_Romanized/032556.

Kosambi, D. D. (1956). *An introduction to the study of Indian history* (Chap. 7, pp. 150–170). Popular Prakashan.

McCrindle, J. W. (1877). *Ancient India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian*. Trübner & Co, pp. 50–60.

McCrindle, J. W. (1877). *Ancient India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian*. Trübner & Co, p. 46.

Megasthenes. (1882). *Indica* (Fragment XVI; J. W. McCrindle, Trans.). Trübner & Co, p. 67.

Mittal, V. (2011). *Kautilya's Arthashastra* (Translation), p. 18

Pliny the Elder. (2020). *The natural history* (J. Bostock & H. T. Riley, Trans.; Book VI, Chap. XIX; Original work published 1855), p. 45.

Prabha, J. (n.d.). *Kautilya: Saptanga theory of state* [Course material, CC-5: History of Ideas, Unit 1, M.R.M. College, L. N. Mithila University, Darbhanga]. https://shivajicollege.ac.in/sPanel/uploads/econtent/66c1b1900859b291df69f17ffced09ae.pdf, pp. 42–45.

Shamasastry, R. (1929). *Kautilya's Arthashastra* (2nd ed.). Mysore Government Oriental Library, pp. v–viii

Sharma, R. S. (2005). *India's ancient past*. Oxford University Press, pp. 130–140.

Sikdar, J. C. (1964). *Studies in the Bhagavati S tra* (p. 11). Jain Sahitya Vikas Mandal. https://jainqq.org/booktext/Studies_in_The_Bhagavati_Sutra/001615, p. 11.

Singh, U. (2008). *A history of ancient and early medieval India: From the Stone Age to the 12th century*. Pearson Education, pp. 102–105.

Singh, U. (2008). *A history of ancient and early medieval India: From the Stone Age to the 12th century*. Pearson Education, pp. 117–121.

Singh, U. (2008). *A history of ancient and early medieval India: From the Stone Age to the 12th century* (pp. 270–282). Pearson Education, pp. 270–282.

Singh, U. (2017). *Political violence in ancient India*. Harvard University Press.

Singh, U. (2017). *Political violence in ancient India*. Harvard University Press, pp. 300–350.

Thapar, R. (1961). *Asoka and the decline of the Mauryas*. Oxford University Press, pp. 6–10.

Thapar, R. (1961). *Asoka and the decline of the Mauryas*. Oxford University Press.p. 283

Thapar, R. (1966). *A history of India* (Vol. 1). Penguin Books, pp.29-31.

Yardley, J. (1984). *History of Alexander*. Penguin Classics, pp. 204–205.